# INDIANA SUPREME COURT COURT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM



## **DATA GRANT**

## ANNUAL PROGRAM ASSESSMENT REPORT

October 1, 2009- September 30, 2010

Prepared by:
Michael Murphy, Staff Attorney
CIP Statistical Analyst
Indiana Supreme Court, Division of State Court
Administration
30 South Meridian Street, Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
317-232-2542 Office
317-233-6586 Fax
mmurphy@courts.state.in.us

The following annual program report is being sent to:

National Child Resource Center on Legal and Judicial Issues c/o ABA Center on Children and the Law 740 15<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005-1022

Attn: Ms. Shante Bullock

E-mail: bullocks@staff.abanet.org

and is pursuant to the Administrative Provisions of the *Program Instructions* under Log Number: ACYF-CB-PI-06-05.

#### INTRODUCTION

The intent in meeting the overall strategy of the Data Collection and Analysis Grant is to conduct ongoing, meaningful and collaborative studies with the goal of strengthening court performance through review of past practices, observation of current practices, followed by implementation of recommendations developed as a result of well-planned, proposed and authorized studies. The overarching objective remains to involve all stakeholders in the improvement of court-oriented circumstances for attaining greater safety, permanency and well-being for youths in the Indiana Child Welfare System.

### **ACHIEVEMENTS**

- 1. Development of ongoing, meaningful collaboration continues, as in prior years, to be successful not only through interaction with members of the Multi-Disciplinary Task Force, named the Child Welfare Improvement Committee (CWIC), but also through the attendance at regularly scheduled meetings of the now well-established Supreme Court Alliance Resources for Families (SCARF), a clearinghouse of current information and developments. Members include representatives from the Court Improvement Program (CIP), Judicial Technology and Automation Committee, (JTAC), Indiana Judicial Center (IJC), State Court Administration (STAD), Guardian Ad litem/Court Appointed Special Advocate (GAL/CASA), Pro Bono Project, and the Family Court Project. Consensus of the attendees demonstrates an extremely positive level of collaborative interaction and greater understanding of the directives and efforts of each program.
- 2. In accordance with the **CIP Data Grant 5 Year Strategic Plan**, improving Court performance by creating reporting forms and interactive methods to capture **CHINS** data in a single uniform database named *Odyssey* is continuing to move

forward at a positive pace established and reported in past years. With 92 counties historically capturing data collection in a variety of methods, this standardization process is not without challenges and setbacks, but is generally being met with acceptance as it is has now been implemented in several more counties. As outlined in Item #1 above, a representative from the Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC), responsible for this project, reports progress at regularly scheduled SCARF meetings. Proving to be a formidable task, 62 counties are currently utilizing the *Odyssey* database in some form or limited function, many of which have initiated a component for the benefit of children in the Child Abuse and Neglect system.

- 3. In accordance with the CIP Data Grant 5 Year Strategic Plan a detailed data collection and analysis project reviewing all Termination of Parental Rights (TPR) appeals in the State of Indiana from 2003 through 2007 was conducted and reported on during fiscal year 2008, leading to recommendations for reformation of the Rules of Appellate Procedure to expedite appeals of cases terminating parental rights. The emphasis of the project in 2008 was to investigate methods to improve permanency in the lives of children awaiting adoption. The project included an in-depth review of all 539 cases appealed during the period, assembling data on filing issues, timeliness problems, statutory compliance and others. The analysis of the resulting data centered on the average number of days elapsing at critical stages of each appeal and the total time for each appeal to be completed. A forty-two page final report entitled "A Statistical Analysis of Termination of Parental Rights Cases 2003 – 2007" was presented to the Indiana CIP Executive Committee, and upon its recommendation, to the Indiana State Supreme Court Conference for review. As a result of this review, in late fiscal year 2008, the Supreme Court requested a presentation of recommendations to reform, streamline and expedite the appellate process as it relates to Termination of Parental Rights cases. The requested rule changes were initially formulated in early fiscal year 2009, and presented to the Indiana State Supreme Court Conference for advisement. After several revisions and modifications during fiscal years 2009 and 2010, the documentation was again presented to the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure. The committee met again to consider the revisions on December 18, 2009, at which time the proposed changes were tabled. To renew interest in the proposed changes, the CWIC group has elected to form a judicial interest group to petition the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure enmasse, emphasizing the importance of addressing this issue.
- 4. Also in accordance with the **CIP Data Grant 5 Year Strategic Plan,** Phase One of the Allen County, Indiana, pilot program for implementation of the Court Performance Measures In Child Abuse and Neglect Cases (**Toolkit**) began in earnest in April, 2009. As earlier reported, the project involves collection of data

on cases closed in 1997, 1998, and 1999, comparing those results to cases closed ten years later, in 2007, 2008, and 2009. Allen County first instituted court reforms under the CIP program in 1997. The performance enhancements and improvements since that initial reform period will be gauged, as well as the areas of necessary performance improvement that remain to be addressed. evaluation will measure outcomes in all four areas: safety; permanency; due process; and, timeliness, where possible. During fiscal year 2010, the Indiana University Center for Urban Policy and the Environment (CUPE) provided analysis and review of data collected for the initial period of 1997, 1998, and 1999, as well as a comprehensive report which was presented to the steering committee, as part of Phase One of the project. Currently, analysis of data collected for the 3-year period of 2007, 2008, and 2009 is being conducted, with the objective of the presentation of a comprehensive report with the potential for more widespread dissemination of results and recommendations for improvement not only in Allen County, but throughout the state, in keeping with the long-range plans in accordance with the CIP Data Grant 5 Year Strategic Plan. The final reports under Phase Two of the project are expected to be completed by the end of fiscal year 2011. The results of the performance evaluations conducted under this pilot program will allow for less interruptive, smoother and more seamless implementation in other counties in Indiana, in future years.

5. During fiscal year 2010, several information gathering surveys of groups involved with the court processes and activities when children in need of services are concerned were conducted. Among those surveys, which provided valuable insight from a variety of forums were: a survey of CASA Program Directors; a survey of Family Court Judges regarding redesign of the program to provide greater involvement of children in the system; a survey of over 1500 individuals involved with Dual Jurisdiction Youths (youths contemporaneously involved in both the CHINS arena and the Juvenile Delinquency system); and, a survey of Senior Judges to ascertain usage in CHINS cases.